

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEFARMANCE OF COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS ASSUMED ON D.C. 20231

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,233	12/19/2000	Kenneth F. Bugg	BELL-0063/00208	4489

09/740,233

11/15/2002

Susan C. Murphy WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

EXAMINER

FIGUEROA, FELIX O

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

·-····································		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )			
		09/740,233	BUGG, KENNETH F.			
		Examiner Falix O. Figures	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Felix O. Figueroa ears on the cover sheet with the c	2833			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 S	September 2002 and 24 October	<u> 2002</u> .			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/740,233

Art Unit: 2833

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bell (US 5,930,340).

Bell discloses an interface adapter comprising an ASDL filter; a plug connector (309) coupled to the filter by a first set of electrical conductors; and a receptacle connector (305) coupled to the filter by a second set of electrical conductors. Bell also discloses a data terminal (307) coupled to the filter by a third set of electrical conductors.

Please note that the interface adapter of Bell requires to be coupled via the plug to a telephone network at a network interface device in order to perform.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ismail (US 4,362,905) in view of Harrington et al. (US 4,738,635).

Application/Control Number: 09/740,233

Art Unit: 2833

Ismail discloses an adapter comprising a housing (44) that defines an interior region and an exterior region, the housing having an entrance face (50); a plurality of electrical conductors (see fig.6) extending into the interior region of the adapter; a plug connector (46) coupled to a first subset of the electrical conductors; and a receptacle connector (56) coupled to a second subset of the electrical conductors. Ismail also discloses a data terminal (58) coupled to a third subset. Ismail also shows a plug face, a receptacle face and a terminal face.

Ismail discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the electrical conductors being coupled to a splitter. Harrington teaches the use of a splitter to provide connection to multiple lines. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to couple the conductors of Ismail to a splitter, as taught by Harrington, to provide connection to multiple lines.

Regarding claim 15, Ismail discloses (in Fig.6) substantially the claimed invention except for the data terminal comprising lugs. However, Ismail shows (in Figs.9&10) that the use of lugs (94) is an equivalent structure known in the art for the structure of Fig.6. Therefore, because these two connecting elements were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious the use of lug as the connecting elements.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bell (US 5,930,340) in view of Ismail.

Please refer to the discussion on claim 15 above.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2833

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a plug connector and a receptacle connector coupled to just one filter) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix O. Figueroa whose telephone number is (703) 308-0097. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Gary Paumen

**Primary Examiner** 



Art Unit: 2833

ffr

November 11, 2002